from one source to another. They make some decisions immediately, and delay others, through reliance on judgment, estimation, and prediction to fill in gaps in available information.

**Reaction Time**

Information takes time to process. Drivers’ reaction times increase as a function of decision complexity and the amount of information to be processed. Furthermore, the longer the reaction time, the greater the chance for error. Johansson and Kumar (27) measured brake reaction time for expected and unexpected events. Their results show that when an event is expected, reaction time averages about 0.6 s, with a few drivers taking as long as 2 s. With unexpected events, reaction times increased by 35 percent. Thus, for a simple, unexpected decision and action, some drivers may take as long as 2.7 s to respond. A complex decision with several alternatives may take several seconds longer than a simple decision. Figure 2-26 shows this relationship for median-case drivers, whereas Figure 2-27 shows this relationship for 85th-percentile drivers. The figures quantify the amount of information to be processed in bits. Long processing times decrease the time available to attend to other tasks and increase the chance for error.

Highway designs should take reaction times into account. It should be recognized that drivers vary in their responses to particular events and take longer to respond when decisions are complex or events are unexpected. NCHRP Reports 600A and 600B (14, 15) provide factual information and insight on the characteristics of road users to facilitate appropriate roadway design and operational decisions.

![Figure 2-26. Median Driver Reaction Time to Expected and Unexpected Information](image)
Figure 2-27. 85th-Percentile Driver Reaction Time to Expected and Unexpected Information

**Primacy**

Primacy indicates the relative importance to safety of competing information. The driver control and guidance information are most important because the related errors may contribute directly to crashes. Navigation information has a lower primacy because driver errors may lead to inefficient traffic flow, but are less likely to lead to crashes. Accordingly, the design should focus the drivers' attention on the design elements and high-priority information sources that provide control and guidance information. This goal may be achieved by providing clear sight lines and good visual quality.

**Expectancy**

Driver expectancies are formed by the experience and training of drivers. Situations that generally occur in the same way, and successful responses to these situations, are incorporated into each driver's store of knowledge. Expectancy relates to the likelihood that a driver will respond to common situations in predictable ways that the driver has found successful in the past. Expectancy affects how drivers perceive and handle information and modify the speed and nature of their responses.
3 Elements of Design

3.1 INTRODUCTION

The alignment of a highway or street produces a great impact on the environment, the fabric of the community, and the highway user. The alignment consists of a variety of design elements that combine to create a facility that serves traffic safely and efficiently, consistent with the facility’s intended function. Each alignment element should complement others to achieve a consistent, safe, and efficient design.

The design of highways and streets within particular functional classes is treated separately in later chapters. Common to all classes of highways and streets are several principal elements of design. These include sight distance, superelevation, traveled way widening, grades, horizontal and vertical alignments, and other elements of geometric design. These alignment elements are discussed in this chapter, and, as appropriate, in the later chapters pertaining to specific highway functional classes.

3.2 SIGHT DISTANCE

3.2.1 General Considerations

A driver’s ability to see ahead is needed for safe and efficient operation of a vehicle on a highway. For example, on a railroad, trains are confined to a fixed path, yet a block signal system and trained operators are needed for safe operation. In contrast, the path and speed of motor vehicles on highways and streets are subject to the control of drivers whose ability, training, and experience are quite varied. The designer should provide sight distance of sufficient length that drivers can control the operation of their vehicles to avoid striking an unexpected object in the traveled way. Certain two-lane highways should also have sufficient sight distance to enable drivers to use the opposing traffic lane for passing other vehicles without interfering with oncoming vehicles. Two-lane rural highways should generally provide such passing sight distance at frequent intervals and for substantial portions of their length. On the other hand, it is normally of little practical value to provide passing sight distance on two-lane urban streets or arterials. The proportion of a highway’s length with sufficient sight distance to pass another vehicle and interval between passing opportunities should be compatible with the intended function of the highway.
and the desired level of service. Design criteria and guidance applicable to specific functional classifications of highways and streets are presented in Chapters 5 through 8.

Four aspects of sight distance are discussed below: (1) the sight distances needed for stopping, which are applicable on all highways; (2) the sight distances needed for the passing of overtaken vehicles, applicable only on two-lane highways; (3) the sight distances needed for decisions at complex locations; and (4) the criteria for measuring these sight distances for use in design. The design of alignment and profile to provide sight distances and to satisfy the applicable design criteria are described later in this chapter. The special conditions related to sight distances at intersections are discussed in Section 9.5.

3.2.2 Stopping Sight Distance

Sight distance is the length of the roadway ahead that is visible to the driver. The available sight distance on a roadway should be sufficiently long to enable a vehicle traveling at or near the design speed to stop before reaching a stationary object in its path. Although greater lengths of visible roadway are desirable, the sight distance at every point along a roadway should be at least that needed for a below-average driver or vehicle to stop.

Stopping sight distance is the sum of two distances: (1) the distance traversed by the vehicle from the instant the driver sights an object necessitating a stop to the instant the brakes are applied, and (2) the distance needed to stop the vehicle from the instant brake application begins. These are referred to as brake reaction distance and braking distance, respectively.

Brake Reaction Time

Brake reaction time is the interval from the instant that the driver recognizes the existence of an obstacle on the roadway ahead that necessitates braking until the instant that the driver actually applies the brakes. Under certain conditions, such as emergency situations denoted by flares or flashing lights, drivers accomplish these tasks almost instantly. Under most other conditions, the driver needs not only to see the object but also to recognize it as a stationary or slowly moving object against the background of the roadway and other objects, such as walls, fences, trees, poles, or bridges. Such determinations take time, and the amount of time needed varies considerably with the distance to the object, the visual acuity of the driver, the natural rapidity with which the driver reacts, the atmospheric visibility, the type and the condition of the roadway, and nature of the obstacle. Vehicle speed and roadway environment probably also influence reaction time. Normally, a driver traveling at or near the design speed is more alert than one traveling at a lesser speed. A driver on an urban street confronted by innumerable potential conflicts with parked vehicles, driveways, and cross streets is also likely to be more alert than the same driver on a limited-access facility where such conditions should be almost nonexistent.

The study of reaction times by Johansson and Rumar [39] referred to in Section 2.2.6 was based on data from 321 drivers who expected to apply their brakes. The median reaction-time value for these drivers was 0.66 s, with 10 percent using 1.5 s or longer. These findings correlate with those of earlier studies in which alerted drivers were also evaluated. Another study [44] found 0.64 s as the average reaction time, while 5 percent of the drivers needed over 1 s. In a third study [45], the values of brake reaction time ranged from 0.4 to 1.7 s. In the Johansson and Rumar study [39], when the event that prompted application of the brakes was unexpected, the drivers’ response times were found to increase by approximately 1 s or more; some reaction times were greater than 1.5 s. This increase in reaction time substantiated earlier
laboratory and road tests in which the conclusion was drawn that a driver who needed 0.2 to 0.3 s of reaction time under alerted conditions would need 1.5 s of reaction time under normal conditions.

Minimum brake reaction times for drivers could thus be at least 1.64 s, 0.64 s for alerted drivers plus 1 s for the unexpected event. Because the studies discussed above used simple prearranged signals, they represent the least complex of roadway conditions. Even under these simple conditions, it was found that some drivers took over 3.5 s to respond. Because actual conditions on the highway are generally more complex than those of the studies, and because there is wide variation in driver reaction times, it is evident that the criterion adopted for use should be greater than 1.64 s. The brake reaction time used in design should be long enough to include the reaction times needed by nearly all drivers under most highway conditions. Both recent research and the studies documented in the literature show that a 2.5-s brake reaction time for stopping sight situations encompasses the capabilities of most drivers, including those of older drivers. The recommended design criterion of 2.5 s for brake reaction time exceeds the 90th percentile of reaction time for all drivers and was used in the development of Table 3-1.

A brake reaction time of 2.5 s is considered adequate for conditions that are more complex than the simple conditions used in laboratory and road tests, but it is not adequate for the most complex conditions encountered in actual driving. The need for greater reaction time in the most complex conditions encountered on the roadway, such as those found at multiphase at-grade intersections and at ramp terminals on through roadways, can be found in Section 3.2.3 on “Decision Sight Distance.”

**Braking Distance**

The approximate braking distance of a vehicle on a level roadway traveling at the design speed of the roadway may be determined from the following equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
    d_b &= 0.039 \frac{V^2}{a} \\
    &\quad \text{where:} \\
    d_b &= \text{braking distance, m} \\
    V &= \text{design speed, km/h} \\
    a &= \text{deceleration rate, m/s}^2
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    d_y &= 1.075 \frac{V^2}{a} \\
    &\quad \text{where:} \\
    d_y &= \text{braking distance, ft} \\
    V &= \text{design speed, mph} \\
    a &= \text{deceleration rate, ft/s}^2
\end{align*}
\]  

Studies documented in the literature show that most drivers decelerate at a rate greater than 4.5 m/s² [14.8 ft/s²] when confronted with the need to stop for an unexpected object in the roadway. Approximately 90 percent of all drivers decelerate at rates greater than 3.4 m/s² [11.2 ft/s²]. Such decelerations are within the driver’s capability to stay within his or her lane and maintain steering control during the braking maneuver on wet surfaces. Therefore, 3.4 m/s² [11.2 ft/s²] (a comfortable deceleration for most drivers) is recommended as the deceleration threshold for determining stopping sight distance. Implicit in the choice of this deceleration threshold is the assessment that most vehicle braking systems and the tire-pavement friction levels of most roadways are capable of providing a deceleration rate of at least 3.4 m/s² [11.2 ft/s²]. The friction available on most wet pavement surfaces and the capabilities of most vehicle braking systems can provide braking friction that exceeds this deceleration rate.