

Concentrate your Attack in this Order

1. Education

- A. You must understand the traffic engineering of yellow lights (and posted limits for speed cameras) to the degree where you can teach it.
- B. Approach your assemblyman, a congressmen or selectman to remove the cameras and explain the engineering argument. Remember your argument is completely unemotional. No fuzzy Constitution stuff. You are not making a point that changes with human opinion. Instead, your argument is completely mathematical. There is never a refutation. You will get ignorance. You will be disbelief. But never refutation. If they are honest and pursue what you say, all you get is agreement.
- C. Once you understand the engineering, you understand that it is impossible for photo-enforcement to make roads safer. An enforcement strategy does not treat the disease. The disease is the engineer, not the driver. Fix the engineer, and the problem simply goes away.
- D. Understand the popular traffic safety concept of “EEE”. It is called the triple E of traffic safety. Engineering, Education then Enforcement. The three Es must occur in that order. Police know EEE. Engineers know EEE. “Vision Zero” people know EEE. It is common lingo. Police don’t know anything about the first E. Police *assume* that the Engineering “E” is correct.

2. When you have a problem with the law, go to your state assemblyman first. This is the way our democracy works. US Citizen 101. You have a rep in government who you can go to when you see a conflict with a state law.

- A. Assemblymen exist to represent you. By law they are your advocate. Convince a state assemblyman to introduce a bill to ban photo-enforcement statewide. With the right assemblyman understands, he can successfully stop all the state programs in a single legislative session. This happened in New Jersey. State Senator Declan O'Scanlon called me and asked me to explain the bad engineering. I taught him. His suspicions were vindicated. He got rid all the camera programs in New Jersey. Explain . . .

- a. ***Today's traffic control devices were designed a century ago. Our imprecise traffic signals, speed limit signs, and bus stop-arm extensions, accompanied by our imprecise state laws and city ordinances, are obsolete in the presence of the new technology of precision photo-enforcement.***

- b. The real problem is not the cameras but rather the underlying engineering defects inherent in traffic signals, speed limit signs and the actions of school bus drivers.
- c. Tell the assembly that the best way to terminate photo-enforcement programs is to fix the underlying engineering defects. How to remove the defects are known. Explain that the problem is with traffic engineers and local governments. They are unwilling to admit math errors publicly because they are exceedingly embarrassing and have been going on for decades. Also explain that once a camera program is operating, the revenue to a city is like cocaine. Governments will do anything, even kill people, to keep those millions of dollars coming in every year.
- d. This legislative bill (<https://www.ncleg.gov/BillLookup/2025/H189>) will cause all cities to drop their red-light camera programs. It is elegant from an engineering perspective. It allows cities to keep cameras but to limit ticketing to unsafe drivers. There is no money when limiting tickets to just the unsafe drivers.

3. Remove federal funding of photo-enforcement programs. There is no funding need for this. The programs make a fortune. Get rid of the **SS4A** (Safe Streets for America) grants funding photo-enforcement programs. Contact your US Congressmen. Contact Sean Duffy (Secretary of USDOT). Contact congressmen on the US Congress "Transportation & Infrastructure Committee." Before you do this, you must have facts.

- A. FOIA each city for the contract it has signed with each camera vendor.
- B. Make the contract contains the operating parameters of the camera system. For example:
 - a. Does the system retain "non-violation data"?
 - b. What is the red-light camera delay?
 - c. Does the system ticket government employees and councilmen? Are the councilmen and police on a whitelist?
- C. FOIA each city for the number of tickets it has issued for each camera vendor
- D. Use the FOIA information to convince your Congressmen to stop funding a tremendously profitable camera program.

4. Ballot Measure. There is much more leg work here. Ballot measures are at the city level.

- A. Initiate a referendum to allow residents to vote on photo-enforcement.
- B. A referendum works 96% of the time.
- C. You will be get push back from the police and those parties who make a fortune from the cameras. The police insist that "traffic safety " is not something that residents vote on. Here, you have to make the argument the photo-enforcement is not about safety.

It is about profiting from engineering defects. As for red-light cameras, there are more crashes when the cameras go up.

- D. Beware. You and your city's chairman of the board of elections may be sued by the camera vendor. The vendor "Sensys" sued two people in Florida and Brooksville's chairman of the board of elections. The vendor lost of course, but it delayed a referendum so that its red-light camera program could operate for another two years, and steal another several million dollars. "Gatso-Sensys" operates in Connecticut. Camera vendors are corrupt. They play the system.

4. Board of Engineers (TBA)

5. Lawyers. Most of the time, lawyers are a necessary evil. Cities do not give up their cash cows voluntarily. You will also find that *government will use the power of government to acquit itself*. Governments become corrupt corporations when their revenue is on the line. Government will use their massive resources to run you down. Judges will do crazy things such as strike the laws of physics so that their fellow government colleagues can make money.

You have to find a lawyer who is not afraid of engineering and physics, who is humble enough to learn the engineering, and who has a moral compass to do the right thing, and do the right thing pro-bono.

I know only two lawyers who are humble, have a moral compass, and willing do this work pro-bono for the chance of making a world a better place. They, however, have a hard time working outside their box of legal expertise. But they are Addicus Fitches.

It would be extremely beneficial to get a lawyer who knows engineering or science. This knowledge is a prerequisite. They cannot succeed in court without it. They won't be able to cross examine the defendant's traffic engineering experts. Such lawyers exists, but they are usually patent attorneys. Patent attorneys have no experience in class actions.

What you usually find are attorneys with

- a. a combination of arrogance and ignorance.
- b. no moral compass. They only participate if there is money in it.
- c. an unwillingness to learn a body of law they are not comfortable litigating. You will find personal injury, real estate, progressive-tax law, consumer protection law, attorneys. None are able to think outside their own box.
- d. the inability to prove $2 + 2 = 4$ in court. It is harder to do than you think. I have put together the [legal theory](#) to do this though.
- e. a fear of physics. Judges have the same problem. Say the word "physics" and they run away screaming, "I became a judge so I did not have to learn physics."